

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 542 of 2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

Arun Kumar Jain

...Appellant

Versus

P.K. Industries Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : **Mr. Sanjeev Sagar and Ms. Nazia Parveen, Advocates**

For Respondents : **Mr. Sunder Khatri, Advocate for Liquidator**

O R D E R

21.05.2019 This appeal has been preferred by Arun Kumar Jain, Shareholder/Director of 'P. K. Industries Pvt. Ltd.' (Corporate Debtor) against order dated 25th March, 2019 passed by the Adjudicating Authority (National Company Law Tribunal), Bench – III, New Delhi whereby in absence of any 'resolution plan', an order of 'Liquidation' has been passed u/s 33 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (for short, '**the I&B Code**'). The appeal has been preferred after delay of 11 days. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and being satisfied with the grounds, the delay of 11 days in preferring the appeal is condoned.

I.A. No. 1690 of 2019 stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the Appellant submitted that if the opportunity is given to the 'Promoter', they could have settled the matter with the 'Creditors' but no such opportunity was given. However, such ground cannot be taken once the 'resolution plan' has been called for and in view of above settlement u/s 12A of the I&B Code.

For the reasons aforesaid, while we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order of 'Liquidation' dated 25th March, 2019, we direct the 'Liquidator' to take steps of 'Liquidation' in terms of the order of this Appellate Tribunal in '**Y. Shivram vs. S. Dhanapal & Ors.**' in 'Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 224 of 2018' vide order dated 27th February, 2019 observed and held as follows :

"12. *The aforesaid issue fell for consideration before this Appellate Tribunal in "**S.C. Sekaran v. Amit Gupta & Ors.— Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) Nos. 495 & 496 of 2018**" wherein this Appellate Tribunal having noticed the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Swiss Ribbon Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. v. Union of India & Ors.** (Supra) and "**Meghal Homes Pvt. Ltd.**" observed and held:*

"5. *We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in '**Swiss Ribbons Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors. – Writ Petition (Civil) No. 99 of 2018**' by its judgment dated 25th January, 2019, observed as follows:*

"11.What is interesting to note is that the Preamble does not, in any manner, refer to liquidation, which is only availed of as a last resort if there is either no resolution plan or the resolution

*plans submitted are not up to the mark. **Even in liquidation, the liquidator can sell the business of the corporate debtor as a going concern.** [See **ArcelorMittal** (supra) at paragraph 83, footnote 3]. (Emphasis added)*

12. *It can thus be seen that the primary focus of the legislation is to ensure revival and continuation of the corporate debtor by protecting the corporate debtor from its own management and from a corporate death by liquidation. The Code is thus a beneficial legislation which puts the corporate debtor back on its feet, not being a mere recovery legislation for creditors. The interests of the corporate debtor have, therefore, been bifurcated and separated from that of its promoters /those who are in management. Thus, the resolution process is not adversarial to the corporate debtor but, in fact, protective of its interests. The moratorium imposed by Section 14 is in the interest of the corporate debtor itself, thereby preserving the assets of the corporate debtor during the resolution process. The timelines within which the resolution process is to take place again protects the corporate debtor's assets from further dilution, and also protects all its creditors and workers by seeing that*

the resolution process goes through as fast as possible so that another management can, through its entrepreneurial skills, resuscitate the corporate debtor to achieve all these ends.”

In ‘Arcelormittal India Pvt. Ltd. vs. Satish Kumar Gupta & Ors.’ at paragraph 83, footnote 3 is mentioned. The Hon’ble Supreme Court noticed that :

“3. Regulation 32 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Liquidation Process) Regulations, 2016, states that the liquidator may also sell the corporate debtor as a going concern.”

6. *In ‘**Meghal Homes Pvt. Ltd. vs. Shree Niwas Girni K.K. Samiti & Ors. – (2007) 7 SCC 753**’ the Hon’ble Supreme Court observed and held as follows:*

“33. The argument that Section 391 would not apply to a company which has already been ordered to be wound up, cannot be accepted in view of the language of Section 391(1) of the Act, which speaks of a company which is being wound up. If we substitute the definition in Section 390(a) of the Act, this would mean a company liable to be wound

up and which is being wound up. It also does not appear to be necessary to restrict the scope of that provision considering the purpose for which it is enacted, namely, the revival of a company including a company that is liable to be wound up or is being wound up and normally, the attempt must be to ensure that rather than dissolving a company it is allowed to revive. Moreover, Section 391(1)(b) gives a right to the liquidator in the case of a company which is being wound up, to propose a compromise or arrangement with creditors and members indicating that the provision would apply even in a case where an order of winding up has been made and a liquidator had been appointed. Equally, it does not appear to be necessary to go elaborately into the question whether in the case of a company in liquidation, only the Official Liquidator could propose a compromise or arrangement with the creditors and members as contemplated by Section 391 of the Act or any of the contributories or creditors also can come forward with such an application.”

7. Section 391 of the Companies Act, 1956 has since been replaced by Section 230 of the Companies Act, 2013, which is as follows:

“230. Power to compromise or make arrangements with creditors and members

- (1) Where a compromise or arrangement is proposed—
- (a) between a company and its creditors or any class of them; or
- (b) between a company and its members or any class of them,

the Tribunal may, on the application of the company or of any creditor or member of the company, or in the case of a company which is being wound up, of the liquidator appointed under this Act or under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 as the case may be, order a meeting of the creditors or class of creditors, or of the members or class of members, as the case may be, to be called, held and conducted in such manner as the Tribunal directs.

Explanation.— For the purposes of this sub-section, arrangement includes a

reorganisation of the company's share capital by the consolidation of shares of different classes or by the division of shares into shares of different classes, or by both of those methods.

- (2) *The company or any other person, by whom an application is made under subsection (1), shall disclose to the by affidavit—*
- (a) *all material facts relating to the company, such as the latest financial position of the company, the latest auditor's report on the accounts of the company and the pendency of any investigation or proceedings against the company;*
 - (b) *reduction of share capital of the company, if any, included in the compromise or arrangement;*
 - (c) *any scheme of corporate debt restructuring consented to by not less than seventy-five per cent. of the secured creditors in value, including—*
 - (i) *a creditor's responsibility statement in the prescribed form;*
 - (ii) *safeguards for the protection of other secured and unsecured creditors;*

(iii) report by the auditor that the fund requirements of the company after the corporate debt restructuring as approved shall conform to the liquidity test based upon the estimates provided to them by the Board;

(iv) where the company proposes to adopt the corporate debt restructuring guidelines specified by the Reserve Bank of India, a statement to that effect; and

(v) a valuation report in respect of the shares and the property and all assets, tangible and intangible, movable and immovable, of the company by a registered valuer.

- (3) Where a meeting is proposed to be called in pursuance of an order of the Tribunal under sub-section (1), a notice of such meeting shall be sent to all the creditors or class of creditors and to all the members or class of members and the debenture-holders of the company, individually at the address registered with the company which shall be accompanied by a statement disclosing the details of the compromise or arrangement, a copy of the valuation report, if any, and explaining their effect on creditors, key managerial personnel,*

promoters and non-promoter members, and the debenture-holders and the effect of the compromise or arrangement on any material interests of the directors of the company or the debenture trustees, and such other matters as may be prescribed:

Provided that such notice and other documents shall also be placed on the website of the company, if any, and in case of a listed company, these documents shall be sent to the Securities and Exchange Board and stock exchange where the securities of the companies are listed, for placing on their website and shall also be published in newspapers in such manner as may be prescribed:

Provided further that where the notice for the meeting is also issued by way of an advertisement, it shall indicate the time within which copies of the compromise or arrangement shall be made available to the concerned persons free of charge from the registered office of the company.

- (4) *A notice under sub-section (3) shall provide that the persons to whom the notice is sent*

may vote in the meeting either themselves or through proxies or by postal ballot to the adoption of the compromise or arrangement within one month from the date of receipt of such notice:

Provided that any objection to the compromise or arrangement shall be made only by persons holding not less than ten per cent. of the shareholding or having outstanding debt amounting to not less than five per cent. of the total outstanding debt as per the latest audited financial statement.

- (5) *A notice under sub-section (3) along with all the documents in such form as may be prescribed shall also be sent to the Central Government, the income-tax authorities, the Reserve Bank of India, the Securities and Exchange Board, the Registrar, the respective stock exchanges, the Official Liquidator, the Competition Commission of India established under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Competition Act, 2002, if necessary, and such other sectoral regulators or authorities which are likely to be affected by the compromise or arrangement and shall require that*

representations, if any, to be made by them shall be made within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of such notice, failing which, it shall be presumed that they have no representations to make on the proposals.

- (6) *Where, at a meeting held in pursuance of sub-section (1), majority of persons representing three-fourths in value of the creditors, or class of creditors or members or class of members, as the case may be, voting in person or by proxy or by postal ballot, agree to any compromise or arrangement and if such compromise or arrangement is sanctioned by the Tribunal by an order, the same shall be binding on the company, all the creditors, or class of creditors or members or class of members, as the case may be, or, in case of a company being wound up, on the liquidator appointed under this Act or under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, as the case may be, and the contributories of the company.*
- (7) *An order made by the Tribunal under sub-section (6) shall provide for all or any of the following matters, namely:—*

- (a) *where the compromise or arrangement provides for conversion of preference shares into equity shares, such preference shareholders shall be given an option to either obtain arrears of dividend in cash or accept equity shares equal to the value of the dividend payable;*
- (b) *the protection of any class of creditors;*
- (c) *if the compromise or arrangement results in the variation of the shareholders' rights, it shall be given effect to under the provisions of section 48;*
- (d) *if the compromise or arrangement is agreed to by the creditors under sub-section (6), any proceedings pending before the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction established under section 4 of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985 shall abate;*
- (e) *such other matters including exit offer to dissenting shareholders, if any, as are in the opinion of the Tribunal necessary to effectively implement the terms of the compromise or arrangement:*

Provided that no compromise or arrangement shall be sanctioned by the Tribunal unless a certificate by the company's auditor has been filed with the Tribunal to the effect that the accounting treatment, if any, proposed in the scheme of compromise or arrangement is in conformity with the accounting standards prescribed under section 133.

- (8) *The order of the Tribunal shall be filed with the Registrar by the company within a period of thirty days of the receipt of the order.*
- (9) *The Tribunal may dispense with calling of a meeting of creditor or class of creditors where such creditors or class of creditors, having at least ninety per cent. value, agree and confirm, by way of affidavit, to the scheme of compromise or arrangement.*
- (10) *No compromise or arrangement in respect of any buy-back of securities under this section shall be sanctioned by the Tribunal unless such buy-back is in accordance with the provisions of section 68.*
- (11) *Any compromise or arrangement may include takeover offer made in such manner as may be prescribed: Provided that in case of listed*

companies, takeover offer shall be as per the regulations framed by the Securities and Exchange Board.

(12) An aggrieved party may make an application to the Tribunal in the event of any grievances with respect to the takeover offer of companies other than listed companies in such manner as may be prescribed and the Tribunal may, on application, pass such order as it may deem fit. Explanation.—For the removal of doubts, it is hereby declared that the provisions of section 66 shall not apply to the reduction of share capital effected in pursuance of the order of the Tribunal under this section.

8. In view of the provision of Section 230 and the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'Meghal Homes Pvt. Ltd.' and 'Swiss Ribbons Pvt. Ltd.', we direct the 'Liquidator' to proceed in accordance with law. He will verify claims of all the creditors; take into custody and control of all the assets, property, effects and actionable claims of the 'corporate debtor',
carry on the business of the 'corporate

debtor' for its beneficial liquidation etc. as prescribed under Section 35 of the I&B Code. The Liquidator will access information under Section 33 and will consolidate the claim under Section 38 and after verification of claim in terms of Section 39 will either admit or reject the claim, as required under Section 40. Before taking steps to sell the assets of the 'corporate debtor(s)' (companies herein), the Liquidator will take steps in terms of Section 230 of the Companies Act, 2013. The Adjudicating Authority, if so required, will pass appropriate order. Only on failure of revival, the Adjudicating Authority and the Liquidator will first proceed with the sale of company's assets wholly and thereafter, if not possible to sell the company in part and in accordance with law."

13. Therefore, it is clear that during the liquidation process, step required to be taken for its revival and continuance of the 'Corporate Debtor' by protecting the 'Corporate Debtor' from its management and from a death by liquidation. Thus, the steps which are required to be taken are as follows:

- i. *By compromise or arrangement with the creditors, or class of creditors or members or class of members in terms of Section 230 of the Companies Act, 2013.*
 - ii. *On failure, the liquidator is required to take step to sell the business of the 'Corporate Debtor' as going concern in its totality along with the employees.*
14. *The last stage will be death of the 'Corporate Debtor' by liquidation, which should be avoided.*
 15. *Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant (Promoter) submitted that the provisions under Section 230 may not be completed within 90 days, as observed in **"S.C. Sekaran v. Amit Gupta & Ors."** (Supra).*
 16. *It is further submitted that there will be objections by some of the creditors or members who may not allow the Tribunal to pass appropriate order under Section 230 of the Companies Act, 2013.*
 17. *Normally, the total period for liquidation is to be completed preferably within two years. Therefore, in **"S.C. Sekaran v. Amit Gupta & Ors."** (Supra), this Appellate Tribunal allowed 90 days' time to take steps under Section 230 of the Companies Act,*

2013. In case, for any reason the liquidation process under Section 230 takes more time, it is open to the Adjudicating Authority (Tribunal) to extend the period if there is a chance of approval of arrangement of the scheme.

18. During proceeding under Section 230, if any, objection is raised, it is open to the Adjudicating Authority (National Company Law Tribunal) which has power to pass order under Section 230 to overrule the objections, if the arrangement and scheme is beneficial for revival of the 'Corporate Debtor' (Company). While passing such order, the Adjudicating Authority is to play dual role, one as the Adjudicating Authority in the matter of liquidation and other as a Tribunal for passing order under Section 230 of the Companies Act, 2013. As the liquidation so taken up under the 'I&B Code', the arrangement of scheme should be in consonance with the statement and object of the 'I&B Code'. Meaning thereby, the scheme must ensure maximisation of the assets of the 'Corporate Debtor' and balance the stakeholders such as, the 'Financial Creditors', 'Operational Creditors', 'Secured Creditors' and 'Unsecured Creditors' without any discrimination. Before approval of an

arrangement or Scheme, the Adjudicating Authority (National Company Law Tribunal) should follow the same principle and should allow the 'Liquidator' to constitute a 'Committee of Creditors' for its opinion to find out whether the arrangement of Scheme is viable, feasible and having appropriate financial matrix. It will be open for the Adjudicating Authority as a Tribunal to approve the arrangement or Scheme in spite of some irrelevant objections as may be raised by one or other creditor or member keeping in mind the object of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.

19. *In view of the observations aforesaid, we hold that the liquidator is required to act in terms of the aforesaid directions of the Appellate Tribunal and take steps under Section 230 of the Companies Act. If the members or the 'Corporate Debtor' or the 'creditors' or a class of creditors like 'Financial Creditor' or 'Operational Creditor' approach the company through the liquidator for compromise or arrangement by making proposal of payment to all the creditor(s), the Liquidator on behalf of the company will move an application under Section 230 of the Companies Act, 2013 before the Adjudicating Authority i.e. National Company Law*

Tribunal, Chennai Bench, in terms of the observations as made in above. On failure, as observed above, steps should be taken for outright sale of the 'Corporate Debtor' so as to enable the employees to continue.

20. *Both the appeals are disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions. No cost."*

The appeal stands disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

No costs.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya]
Chairperson

[Justice A.I.S. Cheema]
Member (Judicial)

[Kanthi Narahari]
Member (Technical)

/ns/gc