NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL <u>NEW DELHI</u>

Company Appeal (AT) No. 138 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sunshine Projects Pvt. Ltd.

Versus

Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr.

...Respondents

...Appellant

Company Appeal (AT) No. 139 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sunshine Global Technologies Pvt. Ltd. ... Appellant

Versus

Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr.

Company Appeal (AT) No. 140 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sunshine Tracon Pvt. Ltd.

Versus

Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr.

...Respondents

...Appellant

...Appellant

...Respondents

Company Appeal (AT) No. 141 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

Panchratna Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

Versus

Kalptaru Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

Company Appeal (AT) No. 142 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF: Sunshine Technobuild Pvt. Ltd. ...Appellant Versus Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr. ...Respondents Company Appeal (AT) No. 143 of 2018 IN THE MATTER OF: Mayurpankh Fine Builders Pvt. Ltd. ...Appellant Versus Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr. ...Respondents Company Appeal (AT) No. 144 of 2018 **IN THE MATTER OF:** Mayurpankh Properties Pvt. Ltd. ...Appellant Versus IVEM Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. ...Respondent Company Appeal (AT) No. 145 of 2018 **IN THE MATTER OF:** Sun-N-Shine Entertainers Pvt. Ltd. ...Appellant Versus Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr. ...Respondents

Company Appeal (AT) No. 146 of 2018

IN THE MATTER O	<u>'F:</u>	
Sunshine Merchants Pvt. Ltd.		Appellant
Versus		
Pratik Jayesh Vira & anr.		Respondents
Present:		
For Appellant :	Mr. G. Saikumar, Ms. Saumya Sharma, Mr. Samir Malik, Mr. Farmaan Ali and Ms. Sowmya Saikumar, Advocates	

```
For 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent: Mr. Amit Dhinga, Advocate
```

<u>order</u>

01.05.2018 The same very impugned order dated 5th April, 2018 was challenged in *Company Appeal (AT) No. 135 of 2018* wherein the Appellate Tribunal by order dated 26th April, 2018 observed as follows:-

"Heard the learned Counsels appearing on behalf of both the parties.

In view of the pendency of the Company Petition, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order dated 05.04.2018 passed by National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Division-I, Mumbai (hereinafter referred to as 'Tribunal') in CP 21/241-244/MB/2017 nor inclined to express any opinion with regard to observations as made in the impugned order. However, taking into consideration that the matter is pending since long, we direct the parties not to ask for unnecessary adjournments and to pursue the Company Petition for its disposal at an early date. The Tribunal is expected to dispose of the Company Petition on an early date, preferably within three months, without granting unnecessary adjournments to the parties. It is expected that the parties will not file unnecessary Interlocutory Applications to drag the proceeding.

The Appeal is disposed of with aforesaid observation."

These appeals having been preferred against same very impugned order, these appeals are disposed of with similar observations. No cost.

> [Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya] Chairperson

[Justice Bansi Lal Bhat] Member (Judicial)

/ns/uk

Company Appeal (AT) Nos. 138 to 146 of 2018